# Stat 515: Introduction to Statistics 

Chapter 13

## The Multinomial Experiment

- The multinomial experiment is very similar to the binomial experiment but instead of binary output we have $k$ possible outcomes
- The binomial is a special case of the multinomial with $\mathrm{k}=2$


## The Multinomial Experiment

1. The experiment consists of $n$ identical trials
2. There are $k$ possible outcomes to each trial
3. The probabilities of the k outcomes $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots p_{k}$ are the same across trials
4. Trials are independent
5. The random variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots X_{k}$ are the number of observations that fall into each of the $k$ outcomes

## A One Way Table

| Outcome | 1 | 2 | $\ldots$ | k |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | $n_{1}$ | $n_{2}$ | $\ldots$ | $n_{k}$ |

- For each outcome, $i$, we have an associated count $n_{i}$


## A One Way Table

| Outcome | 1 | 2 | $\ldots$ | k |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | $p_{1}$ | $p_{2}$ | $\ldots$ | $p_{k}$ |

- For each outcome, i, we have an associated population proportion, or probability, $p_{i}$
- Here, it is of interest to know whether or not these probabilities are equal or not


## Hypothesis Test for Multinomial:

## Step 1

- It is of interest to know whether or not the k probabilities are equal or not
- Null hypothesis: we assume that the population proportion equals some $p_{o}$
- $H_{o}: p_{1}=p_{2}=\cdots=p_{k}=\frac{1}{k}$
- Alternative hypothesis: What we're interested in
- Ha: at least one $p_{i}$ is different.


## Hypothesis Test for Multinomial:

$$
\text { Step } 2
$$

- Check the assumptions:

1. The experiment follows the multinomial
2. We have a random sample of the population of interest
3. The sample size $n$ is large enough so that we expect at least 5 observation for each observation

## Hypothesis Test for Multinomial:

## Step 3

- Calculate Test Statistic, $\chi^{2 *}$
- The test statistic measures how different the sample probabilities we have are from the null hypothesis
- We calculate the $\chi^{2}$-score by assuming that all $k$ probabilities are equal (we use $\mathrm{p}_{1}=\mathrm{p}_{2}=\cdots=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}$ )
$\chi^{2^{*}}=\frac{\left(n_{1}-E_{1}\right)^{2}}{E_{1}}+\frac{\left(n_{2}-E_{2}\right)^{2}}{E_{2}}+\cdots+\frac{\left(n_{k}-E_{k}\right)^{2}}{E_{k}}$
- Where $E_{i}=\mathrm{np}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{n} *\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{k}}$


## Hypothesis Test for Multinomial:

## Step 4

- Reject when

$$
\chi^{2^{*}}>\chi_{1-\alpha, k-1}^{2}
$$

## Example

| Candidate Chosen | Number of Votes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Bachmann | 491 |
| Cain | 6,338 |
| Gingrich | 244,065 |
| Huntsman | 1,173 |
| Johnson | 211 |
| Paul | 78,360 |
| Perry | 2,534 |
| Romney | 168,123 |
| Santorum | 102,475 |
| Total | 603,770 |

## Example

| Candidate Chosen | Proportion |
| :--- | :--- |
| Bachmann | .0008 |
| Cain | .0150 |
| Gingrich | .4042 |
| Huntsman | .0019 |
| Johnson | .0003 |
| Paul | .1298 |
| Perry | .0042 |
| Romney | .2785 |
| Santorum | .1697 |
| Total | 1 |

## Hypothesis Test for Multinomial:

## Step 1

- It is of interest to know whether or not the 9 probabilities are equal or not
- Null hypothesis: we assume that the population proportion equals some $p_{o}$

$$
\text { - } H_{o}: p_{1}=p_{2}=p_{3}=p_{4}=p_{5}=p_{6}=p_{7}=p_{8}=p_{9}=\frac{1}{9}
$$

- Alternative hypothesis: What we're interested in
- Ha: at least one $p_{i}$ is different.


## Hypothesis Test for Multinomial:

$$
\text { Step } 2
$$

- Check the assumptions:

1. The experiment follows the multinomial
2. We have a random sample of the population of interest - this is questionable
3. The sample size n is large enough so that we expect at least 5 observation for each observation

## Hypothesis Test for Multinomial:

## Step 3

- Calculate Test Statistic, $\chi^{2 *}$
- The test statistic measures how different the sample probabilities we have are from the null hypothesis
- We calculate the $\chi^{2}$-score by assuming that all k probabilities are equal (we use $\mathrm{p}_{1}=\mathrm{p}_{2}=\cdots=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi^{2^{*}}= & \frac{\left(n_{1}-E_{1}\right)^{2}}{E_{1}}+\frac{\left(n_{2}-E_{2}\right)^{2}}{E_{2}}+\frac{\left(n_{3}-E_{3}\right)^{2}}{E_{3}}+\frac{\left(n_{4}-E_{4}\right)^{2}}{E_{4}} \\
& +\frac{\left(n_{5}-E_{5}\right)^{2}}{E_{5}}+\frac{\left(n_{6}-E_{6}\right)^{2}}{E_{6}}+\frac{\left(n_{7}-E_{7}\right)^{2}}{E_{7}}+\frac{\left(n_{8}-E_{8}\right)^{2}}{E_{8}} \\
& +\frac{\left(n_{9}-E_{9}\right)^{2}}{E_{9}}=954,281.3
\end{aligned}
$$

- Where $E_{i}=\mathrm{np}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{n} *\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}}\right)=\frac{603770}{9}=67085.56$


## Hypothesis Test for Multinomial:

## Step 4

- Reject when

$$
\chi^{2^{*}}>\chi_{1-\alpha, k-1}^{2}=q \operatorname{chisq}(1-\alpha, k-1)
$$

$954,281.3>15.50731$ so we reject the null hypothesis - there is evidence that the probability the population equally prefers each candidate

## Contingency Table

- We use a contingency, or two-way, table to look at two qualitative variables at this same time
- Similar to our analysis of scatterplots we want to see if there's an association or relationship between the two variables


## Contingency Table

| Outcome 1 | 1 | 2 | $\ldots$ | $k$ | Column Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outcome 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $n_{11}$ | $n_{12}$ | $\ldots$ | $n_{1 k}$ | $n_{1 .}$ |
| 2 | $n_{21}$ | $n_{22}$ | $\ldots$ | $n_{2 k}$ | $n_{2 .}$ |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| m | $n_{m 1}$ | $n_{m 2}$ | $\ldots$ | $n_{m k}$ | $n_{4 .}$ |
| Row Total | $n_{.1}$ | $n_{.2}$ | $\ldots$ | $n_{. k}$ | n |

- For each outcome couple, ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ ), we have a count


## Contingency Table

| Outcome 1 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\ldots$ | k | Column Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outcome 2 |  |  |  |  |  |

- For each outcome couple, ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ ), we have an associated population proportion, or probability, $p_{i j}$
- Here, it is of interest if outcome 2 is associated with outcome 1


## Contingency Table

| Outcome 1 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\ldots$ | k | Column Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outcome 2 |  |  |  |  |  |

- Recall, when we learned probability we used these two-way tables and calculated the table as a percentage and conditional percentage table
- Here, it is of interest if outcome 2 is associated with outcome 1 - if outcome 1 and outcome 2 are dependent


## Hypothesis Test for Independence:

## Step 1

- It is of interest whether or not outcome 2 is associated with outcome 1 - if outcome 1 and outcome 2 are dependent
- Null hypothesis: Outcome 1 and Outcome 2 are independent
- Alternative hypothesis: Outcome 1 and Outcome 2 are independent


## Hypothesis Test for Independence: <br> Step 2

- Check the assumptions:

1. We have a random sample from the population of interest
2. We can consider this to be a multinomial with $m * k$ outcomes - represented by each cell in the table
3. The sample size $n$ is large enough so that we expect at least 5 observation for each observation

## Hypothesis Test for Independence: Step 3

- Calculate Test Statistic, $\chi^{2 *}$
- The test statistic measures how different the sample probabilities we have are from the null hypothesis

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi^{2^{*}} & =\sum \frac{\left(n_{i j}-E_{i j}\right)^{2}}{E_{i j}} \\
& =\frac{\left(n_{11}-E_{11}\right)^{2}}{E_{11}}+\frac{\left(n_{12}-E_{12}\right)^{2}}{E_{12}}+\cdots+\frac{\left(n_{m k}-E_{m k}\right)^{2}}{E_{m k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Where $E_{i j}=\frac{n_{i \cdot *} \cdot n_{j}}{n}$


## Hypothesis Test for Independence: Step 4

- Reject when

$$
\chi^{2^{*}}>\chi_{1-\alpha,(m-1)(k-1)}^{2}
$$

## Contingency Table - Example

- Two Categorical Variables
- Would you keep or turn in a $\$ 100$ if you found it on the library floor?
- Do you recycle?

|  | Keep It | Turn It In | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | 17 | 8 | 25 |
| Yes | 30 | 34 | 64 |
| Total | 47 | 42 | 89 |

## Contingency Table - Example

Counts

|  | Keep It | Turn It In | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | 17 | 8 | 25 |
| Yes | 30 | 34 | 64 |
| Total | 47 | 42 | 89 |


|  | Keep It | Turn It In | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | $17 / 89$ | $8 / 89$ | $25 / 89$ |
| Yes | $30 / 89$ | $34 / 89$ | $64 / 89$ |
| Total | $47 / 89$ | $42 / 89$ | $89 / 89$ |


|  | Keep It | Turn It In | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | $19.1 \%$ | $8.989 \%$ | $28.09 \%$ |
| Yes | $33.71 \%$ | $38.2 \%$ | $71.91 \%$ |
| Total | $52.81 \%$ | $47.19 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

## Contingency Table - Example

Counts

|  | Keep It | Turn It In | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | 17 | 8 | 25 |
| Yes | 30 | 34 | 64 |
| Total | 47 | 42 | 89 |

Conditional Percent
(Divide each interior box by the row total)

|  | Keep It | Turn It In | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | $17 / 25=.68$ | $8 / 25=.32$ | $25 / 25=1$ |
| Yes | $30 / 64=.4688$ | $34 / 64=.5313$ | $64 / 64=1$ |
| Total | $47 / 89=.5281$ | $42 / 89=.4719$ | $89 / 89=1$ |


|  | Keep It | Turn It In | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | $68 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Yes | $46.88 \%$ | $53.13 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Total | $52.81 \%$ | $47.19 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

## Contingency Table - Example

Counts

|  | Keep It | Turn It In | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | 17 | 8 | 25 |
| Yes | 30 | 34 | 64 |
| Total | 47 | 42 | 89 |

Percent

|  | Keep It | Turn It In | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | $19.1 \%$ | $8.989 \%$ | $28.09 \%$ |
| Yes | $33.71 \%$ | $38.2 \%$ | $71.91 \%$ |
| Total | $52.81 \%$ | $47.19 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Conditional Percent

|  | Keep It | Turn It In | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | $68 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Yes | $46.88 \%$ | $53.13 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Total | $52.81 \%$ | $47.19 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

## Contingency Table Example

| Recycle\Money | Keep It | Turn It In | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | $68 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Yes | $46.88 \%$ | $53.13 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Total | $52.81 \%$ | $47.19 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

- Explanatory Variable(rows): Recycling Status
- Response Variable(columns): Keep or Return Money


## Contingency Table Example

| Recycle\Money | Keep It | Turn It In | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | $68 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Yes | $46.88 \%$ | $53.13 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Total | $52.81 \%$ | $47.19 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

- Does there appear to be an association between recycling and turning in money found on the floor?
- Yes - by looking at the conditional percent contingency table it appears that a larger percent of people that recycle turn it in compared to those that keep it
- For those who recycle more than half would turn it in as where only $32 \%$ of those who do not recycle would


## Hypothesis Test for Independence: Step 1

- It is of interest whether or not whether or not someone recycles is associated with whether or not they'd turn in money
- Null hypothesis: Someone recycles is not associated with whether or not they'd turn in money
- Alternative hypothesis: Someone recycles is associated with whether or not they'd turn in money


## Hypothesis Test for Independence:

## Step 2

- Check the assumptions:

1. We have a random sample from the population of interest
2. We can consider this to be a multinomial with $2 * 2=4$ outcomes - represented by each cell in the table
3. 89 is large enough for

## Hypothesis Test for Independence: Step 3

- Calculate Test Statistic, $\chi^{2 *}$
- The test statistic measures how different the sample probabilities we have are from the null hypothesis

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi^{2^{*}}= & \sum \frac{\left(n_{i j}-E_{i j}\right)^{2}}{E_{i j}} \\
& =\frac{\left(17-\frac{25 * 47}{89}\right)^{2}}{\frac{25 * 47}{89}}+\frac{\left(8-\frac{25 * 42}{89}\right)^{2}}{\frac{25 * 42}{89}}+\frac{\left(30-\frac{64 * 47}{89}\right)^{2}}{\frac{64 * 47}{89}} \\
& \quad+\frac{\left(34-\frac{64 * 42}{89}\right)^{2}}{\frac{64 * 42}{89}}=3.219262
\end{aligned}
$$

## Hypothesis Test for Independence: Step 4

- Reject when
$\chi^{2^{*}}>\chi_{1-\alpha,(m-1)(k-1)}^{2}=\operatorname{qchisq}(.95,1)$
$3.219262>3.841459$ so we fail to reject the null hypothesis - there isn't enough evidence to show that someone who recycles is associated with whether or not they'd turn in money

